

**REMARKS**

**I. Summary of the Office Action**

In its correspondence mailed August 15, 2008, the Office rejected Claim 46 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as indefinite. In addition, the Office rejected all pending claims on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over Claim 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,926,912

**II. Amendment**

Allowance of the present application is respectfully requested. By the present amendment, Claim 46 has been cancelled. No new matter is added by this amendment.

**III. The Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112 Is No Longer Applicable**

The Office's rejection of Claim 46 as indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph is no longer applicable as the Applicant has cancelled Claim 46. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests this rejection be withdrawn.


**III. The Double-Patenting Rejection Should be Withdrawn**

Applicant has submitted a terminal disclaimer accompanying this correspondence. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office withdrawn its rejection on the grounds of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting over Claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,926,912.

The instant Application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Early and favorable consideration of this Application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: November 14, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph A. Mahoney  
Registration No. 38,956

**CUSTOMER NUMBER 26565**  
**MAYER BROWN LLP**  
P.O. Box 2828  
Chicago, IL 60690-2828  
Telephone: (312) 701-8979  
Facsimile: (312) 706-8530